



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_5567
Title of the Manuscript:	On commutative EKFN-ring with ascending chain condition on annihilators.
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This paper is written in a bad English and with some inaccuracies, most results are without proof, and the results with proof are easy exercises. So in my opinion the paper does not deserve publication in a journal of good quality	Thank you for your remarks. We will improve the English of this paper. But it would be better; to show us the inaccuracies you saw. Unproved results in the main results were preliminaries. Now we put them in preliminaries section.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		